

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATIO	
09/482,725	01/13/2000	Marcus Peinado	MSFT-0092/127334.4	7394
	590 04/14/2005	•	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR			NGUYEN, CUONG H	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/482,725	PEINADO ET AL.				
- Cincon Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII INC DATE of this course in	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this communication				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 May 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the marity is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) Claim(s) 106-299 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>106-279</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>280-299</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The cath or declaration is abjected to the drawing(s) is objected to the drawing(s).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		10-152.				
		4				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
مارت All b) ال Some ^ c) ال None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3.[_] Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date, 040605						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the telephone interview on 4/06/2005.

2. Claims 280-299 were pending in this application.

Response to Amendment

3. The examiner submits that above pending claims are fundamental and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention with cited art of Stefik (US Pat. 5,715,403), and Krishnan et al. (US Pat. 6,073,124).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

4. Claims 280-281, 283, 284, 288, 290-291, 293, 294, and 298 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipate by Krishnan et al. (US Pat. 6,073,124).

Art Unit 3661

A. Re. to claims 280, 290: Krishnan et al. suggest steps for a server to provide to a client computer a digital license of right(s) to render digital content, the digital content encrypted with a decryption key, comprising:

- receiving a license request including a decryption key (see Krishnan et al., Fig.4 ref. 402, Fig. 3 refs. 31, and 310 ELC, and Fig.9 box 909 shows an obvious task of decrypting the received ELC); then
- generating a license response including a digital rights license, the decryption key, and a certificate; and transmitting that response to the client (see Krishnan et al., Fig.19 refs. 1902-1903).
- B. Re. to claims 281, 291: The rationales and reference for rejection of claim 280 are incorporated.

The examiner respectfully submits that a license request of Krishnan et al. inherently include answers for what, when, where, how about said request; i.e., a request of a content identifier, and a list of requested rights, and a server generates a license having those requested rights (see Krishnan et al., Fig.4 - ref. 402, Fig. 3 - refs. 31, and 310 - ELC, and Fig.9 shows an obvious task of decrypting 909 the received ELC).

Art Unit 3661

C. Re. to claims 283, 293: The rationales and reference for rejection of claim 280 are incorporated.

Krishnan et al. teach about transmitting a response to a client computer as part of an HTML page (see Krishnan et al., 3:24 to 4:9).

- D. Re. to claims 284, 294: The rationales and reference for rejection of claim 280 are incorporated.
- Krishnan et al. teach of encrypting the license response; and encoding that response (see Krishnan et al., Fig.6, refs. 601-604).
- 8. Re. to claims 288, 298: Krishnan et al. teach that a request is contained in an HTTP request (see Krishnan et al., 3:45-61, 7:1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C.§ 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 282, 292 is rejected under 35 U.S.C.§ 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan et al. (US Pat.6,073,124).

The rationales and reference for rejection of claim 280 are incorporated.

Art Unit 3661

The examiner respectfully submits that a license request of Krishnan et al. obviously includes a certificate associated with that client computer - in another word, a license is a rights to use software at a specific computer.

6. Claims 285-287, 289, 295-297, and 299 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.§ 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan et al. (US Pat.6,073,124), in view of in view of Stefik (US Pat. 5,715,403).

A. Re. to claims 285, 295: The rationales and reference for rejection of claim 280 are incorporated.

Krishnan et al. teach the license request includes a public key associated with the client computer; Krishnan et al. do not disclose about encrypting a decryption key.

However, Stefik suggests that idea of encrypting a decryption key with the public key to obtain an encrypted decryption key by disclosing: "The requester decrypts the digital certificate using the public key of the master repository, recording the identity of the supplier and creator, a key for decrypting the software, the compatibility information, and a tamper-checking code" - this step authenticates the certification of the software, including the script for uninstalling it; i.e., Stefik teaches that a public key was used to decrypt a digital

Art Unit 3661

certificate/license, that public key is known by a requester.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to suggest a method for combining teachings in the disclosures of Krishnan, & Stefik, because this would increase an extra level of security protection by encrypting a known decryption key.

B. Re. to claims 286, 296: The applicants claim a server certificate comprises a first certificate for validating the server. The examiner respectfully submits that on its face, a server certificate already/equivalently defines a certificate for a server.

C. Re. to claims 287, 297: The rationales and reference for rejection of claim 280 are incorporated.

It is obvious that a certificate may comprise a second certificate - it is merely containing an extra document (just integrating another document).

D. Re. to claims 289, 299: The rationales and reference for rejection of claim 280 are incorporated.

It is obvious with Krishnan et al.'s reference that a request may include a version number for a Digital Rights Management (DRM) system on the client computer because this

Art Unit 3661

version number is merely a non-functional data descriptive material that does not have an inventive concept since including an extra/specific information in a request only add extra non-functional data; this does not change the claimed step.

Conclusion

- 7. Claims 280-299 are not patentable.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am 3:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Art Unit 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cuonshnswyen

CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661